3

4 |



## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	CHARLOTTE BOSWELL,	No. C 04-0098 SI Related Case C 04-0099 SI	
8	Plaintiff,	SPECIAL VERDICT	
9	V.		
10	FEDEX CORPORATION,		
11	Defendant.		
12	We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following for our special verdict:		
13			
14			
15	(1) SEXUALLY HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT (SEXUAL HARASSMENT)		
16	<u> </u>		
17	Question No. 1: Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that		
18	Norman Stites was her supervisor and that he	subjected her to a sexually hostile work envir	onment at
19	FedEx, as defined in these instructions?		
20	Yes No		
21	If the answer to Question No. 1 is "no," please proceed directly to Question No. 6. If the answer		answer to
22	Question No. 1 is "yes," please proceed to Question No.	estion No. 2.	
23	Question No. 2: Did plaintiff Charlotte Bosw	vell prove by a preponderance of the evidence	e that as a
24			
	result of sexual harassment by Norman Stites, she suffered a tangible employment action, as defined in		
25	these instructions?		
26	Yes No		
27	If the answer to Question No. 2 is "no," please proceed to Question No. 3. If the answer to Question No. 2 is "yes," please proceed directly to Question No. 5.		
28	1.0.2 15 Jes, preuse proceed arreary to Quest	7000 A 100 M M	

28

1	Question No. 3: Did defendant FedEx prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that FedEx exercised		
2	reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct the sexually harassing behavior?		
3	Yes No		
5	If the answer to Question No. 3 is "no," please proceed directly to Question No. 5. If the answer to Question No. 3 is "yes," please proceed to Question No. 4.		
6			
7	Question No. 4: Did defendant FedEx prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that plaintiff		
8	unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by Fed Ex		
9	or unreasonably failed to otherwise avoid harm?		
	Yes No		
10	If the answer to Question No. 4 is "no," please proceed to Question No. 5. If the answer to Question		
12			
13			
14	(2) CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE		
15	<b>Question No. 5:</b> Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that her		
16	working conditions were made so intolerable by the sexually hostile work environment that a reasonable		
17	person in plaintiff's position would feel compelled to resign?		
18	Yes No		
	Please proceed to Question No. 6.		
19			
20			
21	(3) RETALIATION		
22	Ouestion No. 6: Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove by a preponderance of the evidence, that she		
23	engaged in activities protected under federal law such as opposing sexual advances, requests for sexual		
24	conduct or other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature?		
25	Yes No		
26	If the answer to Question No. 6 is "no," please go directly to Question No. 9. If the answer to Question		
27	No. 6 is "yes," please proceed to Question No. 7.		

Case 3:04-cv-00098-SI Document 762 Filed 04/11/07 Page 2 of 4

## Case 3:04-cv-00098-SI Document 762 Filed 04/11/07 Page 3 of 4

United States District Court

1	Question No. 7: Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that			
2	defendant FedEx subjected plaintiff to an adverse employment action, as defined in these instructions?			
3	Yes No			
4	If the answer to Question No. 7 is "no," please go directly to Question No. 9. If the answer to Question			
5	No. 7 is "yes," please proceed to Question No. 8.			
6	Question No. 8: Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that her			
7	protected activity was a substantial or motivating factor in causing defendant FedEx to take the adverse			
8	employment action?			
9	Yes No			
10	Please go to Question No. 9.			
11	Please go to Question No. 9.			
12	<u>Instructions for Question No. 9:</u> If you answered Question No. 1 "no" and you answered either Question No. 6 or No. 7 or No. 8 "no," do not answer this question or any further questions;			
13	instead, go directly to the end of this form, sign and date it where indicated. Likewise, if you answered both Questions No. 3 and No. 4 "yes" and you answered either Question No. 6 or No. 7 or No. 8 "no," do not answer this question or any further questions; instead, go directly to the			
14				
15	end of this form, sign and date it where indicated Otherwise, please answer the next questions			
16	Question No. 9: What amount of damages, if any, did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a			
17	preponderance of the evidence, was caused to her by FedEx's actions?			
18	Lost earnings: \$ 300,000.			
19	Other damages, including			
20	mental or emotional pain and suffering: \$ 250,000			
21				
22	Question No. 10: Did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that			
23	defendant FedEx's conduct toward her were malicious, oppressive or in reckless disregard of her rights,			
24	as those terms are defined in these instructions?			
25	Yes No			
26	If your answer to Question No. 10 was "no," do not answer the next question; instead, go directly to the			
27	end of this form, sign and date it where indicated. Otherwise, please answer the next question.			
28.				

## Case 3:04-cv-00098-SI Document 762 Filed 04/11/07 Page 4 of 4

Question No. 11: What amount of punitive damages, if any, did plaintiff Charlotte Boswell prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, should be awarded in this case?

s 2,450,000

Dated: 4/11/07

FOREPERSON

William CARSON